CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT DEC 1 8 2013 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 No. CV 13-8721 UA (DUTYx) ALAN SAMIE, 10 ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING Plaintiff, 11 ACTION TO STATE COURT 12 VANESSA GONZALEZ AND DOES 13 1 TO 10 INCLUSIVE, 14 Defendant. 15 The Court will remand this "Complaint - Unlawful Detainer," Case No. 13R07923, 16 to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly. 17 On September 13, 2013, Defendant Vanessa Gonzalez, having been sued in what 18 appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice 19 of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed 20 in forma pauperis. 21 The Court has denied the in forma pauperis application under separate cover 22 because the action, again, was not properly removed. To prevent the action from 23 remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to 24 state court. 25 Simply stated, as the Court has previously determined, Plaintiff could not have 26 brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not 27 competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and 28

Case 2:13-cv-08721-UA-DUTY Document 5 Filed 12/18/13 Page 1 of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship existed, the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000. Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b). Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, 1725 Main Street, Rm 232, Santa Monica, California 90401 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: \_\_ GĚORGE H. KING Chief United States District Judge Presented by: FREDERICK F. MUMM United States Magistrate Judge